

Alleged Conspirators Set Defense Strategy

On April 15, 1970, eight people were indicted by a federal grand jury and charged with conspiring, combining, confederating and agreeing together to commit offenses against the United States in violation of 18 USC 371, 2101 & 1361. Section 371 of the Criminal Code imposes a penalty of \$10,000 and five years in prison for conspiring to violate the laws of U.S. Section 2101, the Interstate Riot Act.

This section, used in the Chicago conspiracy trial, imposes a similar penalty for travelling in, or using the facilities of interstate commerce with the intent of inciting, organizing, encouraging, or participating in a riot. A riot is any violent act by any one person when three or more persons are assembled together. Section 1361 imposes a \$10,000 fine and/or a ten year prison term for damaging federal property in excess of \$100. Each defendant thus faces a potential \$30,000 fine and twenty years in prison.

At a defense press conference last Saturday, Mike Tigar, one of the defense attorneys, pointed out that none of the eight defendants are actually charged with acts of violence or destruction.

Roger Lippman, one of the defendants, claimed that they were indicted because "the government lost so poorly at Chicago," and he asserted that this was the number two Chicago trial.

When questioned on defense tactics, Mike Tigar hesitated to respond, giving two reasons: 1) he didn't want to give away defense strategy to the prosecution and 2) the defense was dependent on what the prosecution will do. But


then he went on to give a general idea of the defense tactics.

They will attempt to communicate with the jury and make them believe that the indictment is "bullshit." They will also stress that this is a political trial, that the seven people on trial have deep political concern and openly organize a demonstration and that the jury doesn't have to agree with their political views to find them innocent.

Tigar also discussed the paradox of the government informer, a person paid by the government to lie and gain acceptance into the Movement and then offered by the government as a credible witness.

He stated that, in lieu of the Chicago trial, the lawyers will also be on trial in a sense. Indications have already presented themselves that the government's investigatory resources are being employed in connection with the activities of the defense attorneys.

The seven defendants—Chip Marshall, Mike Abeles, Jeff Dowd, Roger Lippman, Joe Kelley, Mike Lerner, and Susie Stern (Mike Justesen is still underground)—went to trial last Monday. Watch for a report of this week's events in next week's Mooring Mast.



THE RECENT DRUG SYMPOSIUM sparked many informative discussion groups.

Dr. Fort Brands U.S. Drug-Ridden Society

By **BARB MORRIS**

Dr. Joel Fort's lecture Thursday night in Eastvold Auditorium as to the wind-up of PLU's two-day drug symposium proved him indeed to have not only the vision, to see, but also down-to-earth remedies for many of the emotionally-tinged problems inherent in drug use and abuse.

Carefully fostered language has helped to cloud the drug issue, says Dr. Fort. "The 'dope fiend' image has been passed on to users

of a variety of other drugs, simply by labeling them falsely as narcotics," he stated. "When it became quite clear that a drug such as marijuana was not a narcotic and not addictive, in characteristic American fashion we invented a new language to deal with that: we began talking about certain drugs as 'soft' narcotics.

"It makes no more sense to talk about soft narcotics," he concluded, "than if I were to lecture you for the next 45 minutes on

soft pergnancy. A drug is either a narcotic or not a narcotic."

In speaking of "mind-altering drugs," Dr. Fort listed foremost on the roster alcohol and nicotine, and summarized that such phrases as "Speed Kills," tend to divert people from the realization that between 75 and 80 million people each use alcohol or tobacco.

Dr. Fort distinguished the differences in use, abuse, addiction and habituation of different drugs. "Some people do not understand" he said, "that you can use any drug—either booze, pot, speed, or anything, once or occasionally, that only some use of the drug is regular, only some regular use is daily, only some of that involves excessive quantities, and the final sub-category is drug abuse."

"Drug abuse, when properly used as a term, should mean excessive use of any drug that measurably impairs your health or social or vocational adjustment," he said, "while drug addiction, meaning physical dependency, is a sub-category of drug abuse."

Using the analogy of the cocktail party, Dr. Fort noted that the basic ingredient in drug experience is the underlying character and personality of the user.

"People often ask the question, 'Why do young people use drugs?' That question should read," Dr. Fort rephrased, "'Why do people, young and old, use drugs—meaning alcohol, tobacco, pills, marijuana, LSD, narcotics, etc?'"

The answer to that question, according to Dr. Fort, is that we live in a drug-ridden, drug saturated society, where from infancy onward we are taught to accept and live the industrial slogan, "Better Living Through Chemistry."

He cited peer pressure, psychological reasons, and pleasure-seeking as other possible causative factors.

While drug use and abuse unquestionably have many personal hard effects, Dr. Fort emphasized that the destructive effects of social policies, laws and enforcement

(Continued on Page 3)

Lucia Bride Opens Yule Season

Marking a long-time Scandinavian tradition at PLU, the university's Lucia Bride will be named at ceremonies in Eastvold Auditorium December 4 to signal the beginning of the Christmas season.

Sponsored by Spurs, sophomore service honorary, the program will be highlighted by coronation of the Lucia Bride with a crown of

lighted candles. Performance by Spurs of Scandinavian songs and dances will be followed by lighting of the Christmas tree in front of Eastvold, and a true-to-life Scandinavian reception in Chris Knutzen Fellowship Hall.

Tickets for the event are on sale to students and members of the community for \$1.00 at the Infor-

mation desk in the University Center.

In Nordic countries, Lucia's Feast Day is celebrated December 13, the shortest day of the year and therefore a day heralding longer hours of light.

Traditionally, the daughters of Scandinavian households enact the role of Lucia for their families. Each village chooses a wholesome maiden of beauty and charity to be honored, and in annual celebrations in Stockholm, the royally feted "Queen of Light" is an inspired title by thousands of girls. The Lucia Bride reigns throughout the year as the symbol of light, and visits hospitals, homes for aged, and those without families.

PLU's Lucia Bride contestants are Luari Sinclair, Rainier; Kelly Wilson, Alpha Phi Omega; Susie Christensen, Pflueger; Pat Carden, Off-Campus; Cathy Wiitala, Cascade; Nancy Holsinger, Evergreen; Judy Satrum, Norsk Klubb; Kimberly Green, Nordic; Debby Cornils, Hong; Karen Larsen, Ivy; Joan Richter, Alpine; Dena Slovik, Kriedler; Kathy Fynboe, Olympic; Nancy Barkley, J.K.'s; Linda Rice, Stuen; Rae Edwards, Ordal; and Mary Overvold, Harstad.

Three candidates will be selected at the Primary elections on Monday, and the final vote will be taken Wednesday.



LUCIA BRIDE CANDIDATES for 1970. Standing from the left - Karen Larsen, Debbie Cornils, Nancy Holzinger, Kimberly Green. Seated around - Kathy Fynboe, Rae Edwards, Joan Richler, Susie Chris-

tensen, Cathy Wiitala, Pat Carden, Linda Rice, Kelly Wilson, Mary Overvold. Center - Dena Slovik, Nancy Barkley and Judy Satrum.

The Estimate of a Tactic

As was anticipated, the reactions to many of the issues presented in last week's *Mast* have been both vocal and pointed. In some cases the criticism was thoughtful and well reasoned, in others it was neither meant nor fit to print. In contrast to this, numerous elements of the University community have gone out of their way to commend the staff and myself in regard to what they considered an excellent issue.

The reasons for such a disparity are obvious only to a certain degree. Though clearly our taking a particular stand contributed to this reaction, the schism runs deeper than that. The response to my editorial points up most effectively the nature of this difficulty.

The variety and tenor of the comments which I have received came quite honestly as a surprise. The implications invariably chastise me for being so rough on the University or the student body. Don't I appreciate all the good things we have here? Why must I point out "that" uncomfortable corner? From such a response you would think that I must hate the place so much that I can hardly wait to leave it.

If that were the case—if I did believe such dreadful things about this University—then I would probably not be here. But both myself and the members of the *Mooring Mast* staff are not someplace else. We are here, and what you apparently do not realize is that we do like it here. The point is that we love this place too much to let it cheat itself out of its potential.

We do not engage in fervent editorial campaigns in defense of all that is good here. For they have the weight of tradition behind them and do not need our endorsement to survive.

The article concerning the confrontation over the leaflet policy is a case in point. Surely the contention is not that our individual liberties under the Constitution are absolute in any sense of the word. No one, as Justice Holmes pointed out, should be able to exercise freedom of speech by shouting "fire!" in a crowded theatre. That certain limitations exist is obvious and shouldn't have to be reiterated.

What is significant is that it is not at all clear where either the University or the students stand in relation to the issue of freedom of speech or assembly upon this campus. As such, we believe the question needs to be raised and done so forcefully.

The answers to the questions raised concerning my editorial and its admittedly heavy-handed tone follow the same reasoning and I believe are even more important. For it poses the question of both the purpose and results of maintaining a certain academic distance from the issue involved. There are times, and I would suggest to you that last week was one of them, when the calculated, logical, low-key approach to an issue carries neither the effectiveness nor the sense of urgency and significance which a claim may involve.

The subject of my editorial, the question of priorities, is an old one on this campus and the arguments have not only come from the *Mast* but from other elements within the University as well. The problem is that what is meant never seems to hit home. Often the only way in which to effect that is by hitting rather hard some of the more "sacred" aspects of those priorities.

It is a shock tactic and we know it, but it is frequently an effective way to gain the genuine attention which is often lacking when approaching an issue. **To assume that we do it for the effect alone is to seriously underestimate both our intentions and our concern for the school.**

The second reason, as was touched upon above, regards the emotional as well as the rational nature of our concern. There are times when we care too much about an issue to pretend that it is simply one more problem to be considered. We care a great deal about this University and our anger at times is an indication of the deep seated feelings we have for the issues which confront it. If I did not feel compelled to harangue this place on occasion when I thought she needed it then I would know I have stopped caring about her as well.

—John Aakre

MOORING MAST

The Voice of the Students at Pacific Lutheran University

JOHN AAKRE Editor
 BOB HASSELBLA Managing Editor
 KATE MANCKE News Editor
 PAULA SEIBERT Copy Editor
 DAVE SODERLUND Sports Editor
 TERRY ROBINSON Circulation Manager
 PAUL BERG Business Manager
 DR. JOHN PETERSON Advisor

Opinions expressed in the *Mooring Mast* are not necessarily those of Pacific Lutheran University, its administration, faculty, or the *Mooring Mast* staff. The *MM* reserves the right to edit all copy for length, propriety and libel.

Parallax

Youth and Positive Action

By GLEN ANDERSON

"But what do you suggest that's positive?"

How often we young people hear this! We are accused of being negative and having nothing positive or constructive to offer. These criticisms are invalid for a number of reasons.

First of all, our protest has at least identified several problem areas. If America can then recognize that certain things need to be changed, that's a start. The first step in solving any problem is to be aware that it exists. Only then can solutions be offered. Indeed, in a society with so much institutionalized inertia for maintaining the status quo, achieving awareness and the openness to change are significant accomplishments. We have had some success in this area.

But we have gone beyond this step. People who think we are against everything don't seem to realize that every protest against war is a plea for peace, every demonstration against racism is a hope for racial equality, every word or act against injustice or repression is a commitment to justice and freedom. These are certainly positive goals. Our hope for a better and more peaceful world is far more positive than many adults' resignation to the inevitability of war or poverty.

Why are we denounced as being negative at the same time as we are dismissed as being idealistic? The two are incompatible. Anyway, what's wrong with being idealistic? Adlai Stevenson proclaimed that "The idealism of today is the hope for the practice of tomorrow." How else shall we be able to achieve peace and justice unless we believe it is possible?

It is the idealistic youths who are positive, and it is the pooh-poohers who are the negative ones.

For some people, the mere mention of the word peace has become a dirty word, and many white people object to seeing black people on television commercials. (Maybe Negroes are o.k. as singers and athletes, but not simply as people?) I can't help wondering whether these middle-aged objectors are not being the negative ones: what's wrong with being a "peacenik"? It's better than being a "war-nik"!

Many people grumble at us that, although we may have good general ideas, we have no concrete plans. Actually, a number of specific suggestions and proposals have been put forth, so that these positive goals may be achieved. But it really isn't the job of the ordinary citizenry to come up with all the answers, although we do make use of petitions, the initiative, and so forth. (Initiative No. 256, for example, was largely a student-conducted effort.) We are doing our democratic part by raising signi-

ficant issues, striving for moral sensitivity, and urging public support for reform.

It is really the job of our elected legislators to "have a plan." Current crises require imaginative solutions, but with a few exceptions, imagination has been sadly lacking in federal, state, and local government. (When a Senator does develop a good plan or program we support it enthusiastically. Witness Senator Gaylord Nelson's Earth Day and his continuing fight against pollution.)

We are urged to follow our national Administration, for example, but we can't follow it because we aren't led. Having failed to rationally convince the nation of the wisdom of Nixon's policies in Southeast Asia, the president's supporters tell us to support them anyway just because he's the president, regardless of the policies' lack of merit. Is this the kind of leadership we should follow? Must I be expected to respond positively when I am called a "campus bum" or an "effete snob"? Are Nixon and Agnew being positive when they alienate group after group with their divisive rhetoric?

We can see that the current Administration and its predecessor have lacked dynamic, creative leadership, and so initiative and leadership are falling to the citizens by default. **We are called rebels without a program, but we are rebels precisely because there is no program.**

Nevertheless, the young people of this state patiently tried to "work within the system" by seeking the right of 19 and 20-year-olds to vote. That certainly sounded like a positive, patriotic effort, but the adult voters apparently decided that they don't want young people to work within the system after all, and voted down the measure. Such negativism is a slap in the face to young people who were acting positively within the "system."

The American people should not be distracted by the irrational activities of a very small minority of us, but much more importantly, they should not underestimate the competence and determination of the majority of youthful dissenters: we will not be easily satisfied.

Against formidable opposition the previous two generations protested long and hard—and successfully—for the rights of working men, and today we enjoy the benefits from those actions. In the best tradition of American democracy our generation is now fighting for the rights of all oppressed people to help build and share in the blessings which this world can yet bestow, and we are trying to create a peaceful world and a healthy environment for all of us to live in.

What could be more positive than that?

Letters to Our Editor

To the Editor,

It is significant that so many who write for the *Mooring Mast* feel it incumbent upon them to personally defend the constitutional rights of Americans. For those of us who are individualists by temperament this would be most reassuring - if only the clarity and objectivity of what is printed were more commensurate with the passion evident in it. No less than three articles in the November 18th issue of the paper made the "busting" of the group which performed, spoke and distributed leaflets on campus on Friday, the 13th, a constitutional issue. In a spirit of moral indignation one insisted that our constitutional rights to freedom of speech and assembly "cannot be restricted or withheld from any piece of real estate in the country."

One has the right to assemble any group to say anything and at any time in my living room perhaps? or a courtroom? or in the midst of a legislative assembly? Come now! We deserve better treatment than this even on our "sheltered campus" which ought to be called an "academy."

Where justice or wisdom lay in the particular incident reported on I cannot say. There may—or may not—be good reason for objecting to the action of the security guard and the answer of the administra-

tor. Just out of curiosity I wonder how much better informed than I were those who objected to the incident. Wisdom and insight are generally about as rare as they are valuable.

There is no doubt that American society is frequently quite undemocratic and that the oppression of individuals is a continuing threat. For such reasons the constitutional guarantee of basic rights should be vigorously defended, but those who see every restriction on free speech and assembly as an infringement on such rights show little understanding of the structures and institution which exists to make mean-

ingful freedom possible. An irresponsible precipitate defense of liberal principles is the surest undoing of liberal principles.

—Dr. George Arbaugh, Philosophy

To the Editor,

I would like to take this opportunity to publicly thank the three co-chairmen of this fall's Drug Symposium for their efforts in making this a truly important and significant event of our campus. Dave Hoch, Gary Horpedahl, and Harold Jensen have spent many hours of hard work putting together a fine program.

(Continued on Page 4)

from ASPLU

Symposium Chairmen for Spring

Two symposiums are scheduled and budgeted for this year. The Drug Symposium is now completed and preparations for a similar event this spring are beginning. Anyone interested in being a co-chairman for the Spring Symposium is urged to submit an application to the Elections and Personnel Board. This challenging and important opportunity can be equally rewarding. Applications can be mailed to ASPLU through the campus mail.

Campus Chest Drive

Another opportunity to serve the campus and the community is yours if you would like to help coordinate the Campus Chest Drive this spring at PLU. The Elections and Personnel Board is looking for interested students who would like to be co-chairmen for this annual event. Please submit your applications to them through ASPLU.

Arthur Hoppe

Our Man Hoppe

Mr. Agnew wants to know my political affiliations. He keeps saying we news commentators should reveal our political biases and prejudices just the way he does.

Actually, people have been coming up to me for years demanding to know, "are you a conservative, a moderate or a liberal?"

I have always given this straightforward question the straightforward answer it deserves. "Yes!", I have answered straightforwardly.

But this is no longer good enough. If my Vice President wants to know my political affiliations, it's by duty as a good American to give them to him.

I am, Mr. Agnew, a Monarchist.
"Power," I cry, "to the Purple."

* * *

The American Monarchist & Bring Whist Party, of which I am a charter member, royally support J. A. Filbert as the only suitable Pretender to the American throne.

Filbert, an unemployed Oklahoma oil baron, has all the qualities of an ideal monarch: He is rich, idle, dissolute, under-educated, over-sexed and a great rum pot. His beautiful wife, Queenie, is known from Tulsa as a discriminating collector of antique scrimshaw and door-to-door salesmen.

The coronation of King Filbert and Queen Queenie would fulfill a growing unmet need of the American people—the need to gossip about their leaders.

Now Mr. Nixon is certainly an adequate President as Presidents go. But his private life is an open book! Indeed, there have been several stories lately speculating on his marital relationship with Mrs. Nixon—which shows just how frustrated the news media and the public have become.

But—ah!—a lascivious, decadent, morally rotten King and Queen! Think of your delight in opening your paper in the morning to find such headlines as, "Where Was Queenie When the Lights Went Out?" or "King Tumbles for Girl Acrobat." The British have been relishing such stories for years.

The Royal Family would divide its time between the White Palace on Pennsylvania Avenue, the Winter Palace in Florida and the Summer Palace in California. They would, of course, have fancy-dressed White Palace Guards, a Royal Jet and Royal Yachts at their disposal, and all the other panoplies of power.

No court is complete without intrigue. And what a boon to us commentators!

We can write reams on such questions as: Can his enemies depose Prince Spiro, the Heir Apparent? Who is the real power behind the throne, Duke Mitchell or Cardinal Kissinger? Will the Barons of Capitol Hill succeed in their rebellion against the King?

True, the subjects are pretty much the same as we write about now. But our stuff would have a hell of a lot more class.

* * *

Think of how all this would perk up the interest of Americans in their government—an interest that has been sadly flagging thanks to the impeccable dullness of the administration's morality.

It's little wonder that several people have already flocked to our Monarchist banner in droves. True, some prospects have been skeptical.

"How can a drunken King," they ask, "end the war in Vietnam, lick poverty, clean up pollution and cure this inflation-recession we're in?"

But we just raise our brows, look them in the eye and reply: "So?"
(Copyright Chronicle Publishing Co. 1970)

Review

By SCOTT GREEN

Whenever you have a chance to go to a play as opposed to attending class that day, the decision is not hard to make. Such was the case last Thursday as the Theatre History class attended the Seattle Repertory Theatre production of Georges Feydeau's "A Flea in Her Ear." That the show was unbelievably funny was "icing on the cake."

The play is concerned with a wife who receives a pair of her husband's suspenders through the mail with the return address of the Hotel Pussycat. This, coupled with the observation that her husband's performance hasn't been up to par lately, naturally leads her to the conclusion that he is playing around, and with the help of her best friend, she sets out to prove his infidelity.

The show is billed as "a farce" and it is definitely that. It would be impossible to describe all the twists and turns of the "plot" but from the moment the husband's nephew, Camille, walks on, kisses the maid who is married to the butler, and utters something completely unintelligible, you know

On The Marquee

you're in for something different.

Marc Singer, as the nephew with the speech defect (you'd have believed it?) was the highlight of the evening with his perfect timing and mastery over his unusual dialect, although hardly a minute went by without a belly laugh no matter who was on stage, Clayton Corzatte showed exceptional control of himself in two quite diversified parts, often having to change from one to the other in a matter of seconds.

For the longest time, I had the idea that Seattle Rep was a stuffy, old theatre that could only be enjoyed by stuffy, old people. If anything will dispel an idea of this sort, "A Flea in Her Ear" will. Even the stuffy, old people were rolling in the aisles (figuratively speaking, of course!).

"A Flea in Her Ear" continues through November 29 so you've only a few chances left. Moilere's "The Miser" opens December 9 and runs through Dec. 27. Students can get tickets for \$2.00 on a "stand-by" basis at the box office at certain times and regular prices are \$3.60-\$4.85-\$5.60.

Because too few students signed

The Case for An All-Volunteer Army

By THOMAS R. HEAVEY

Critics argue that elimination of the draft will adversely affect our society or our Armed Forces. Their primary arguments are: 1) An army of volunteers will threaten civilian control. 2) Isolation from the rest of society will erode civilian respect and therefore dilute the quality of the armed forces. 3) With higher pay the all-volunteer force will draw predominately black people and low income minorities thus creating a poor man's army fighting for the rich man. 4) An all-volunteer force will cause a decline in patriotism or a decline in interest of the foreign policy. 5) An all-volunteer force will encourage military adventurism.

There are several reasons why an all-volunteer force will not produce the dire consequences that some would predict.

First of all, the creation of an all-volunteer force will not affect the institutional framework within which the Department of Defense and the military now work. Manpower recruitment is only a very small part of that framework. The change from a mixed volunteer conscript force to an all-volunteer force will keep intact the legal structures that define the role and status of the military services.

Secondly, Americans firmly believe in a clearly defined and limited military role. This belief is derived from the Anglo-American heritage of individual freedom and

democratic political processes. The English established civilian control over the military through the Glorious Revolution of 1688. The founders of America recognized the need for a military but put strict limitations on it by making the President the Commander-in-Chief and by giving Congress the power to raise and support armies.

In short, a watchful population will continue to be the strongest force limiting the influence of the military in American society.

Third, there is much evidence that our society has more to gain than to fear from an all-volunteer force. Before 1948 military conscription was abandoned after each major war and voluntary recruitment was reinstated. During the long periods of voluntary recruitment there was never any threat to civilian control by the military.

Furthermore, the rush of volunteers at the outbreak of every war demonstrates that a voluntary military did not produce a decline in patriotism. Nor is there any evidence that a voluntary military has spurred any military adventurism. Our experience shows us that a volunteer force will promote civilian control, and improve the quality of the armed forces. It will promote continued patriotism and help avoid military adventurism.

The long established institutional framework, firm public attitudes, and the similarity of future forces

will help prevent separation between the armed forces and society if the United States adopts an all-volunteer force. Some critics feel that the high turnover of manpower generated by the Selective Service System is a healthy phenomenon. Even with an all-volunteer force the turnover will be about 65% of the first term volunteers which would be three-fourths of the turnover that we now have.

The charge that force will become mercenary is the easiest of all to answer. The term "mercenary" implies one single motive—money—which automatically excludes patriotism and all other motives. This charge cannot be taken seriously. Why would an all-volunteer army be considered mercenary when our local police, FBI agents and Federal Marshals, all entirely voluntary, are not?

To suggest that men enlist to serve their country do so only for pay is doing a great disservice to the hundreds of thousands of young men who are voluntarily serving today. When today's first term pay levels are taken into consideration, these men must be motivated by other considerations, including a high sense of dedication to their country. Contrary to what the opponents of the voluntary force would have you believe, patriotism is now weakened by the fact that society initially underpays men who volunteer and generally treats military service as an activity which men will undertake only if compelled to do so.

This is the second in a series of articles, the basis of which is the Report of the President's Commission on an All-Volunteer Armed Force. I welcome any questions or inquiries about this or any other area of the draft. Please address your comments to MSIC, Box 150, Xavier.

Thinking Right

By PRISCILLA MARTENS

One day, Eric was talking to one of his more peaceful, peaceful Liberal friends, and they happened to hit on the topic of desegregation.

"I wonder when the South is finally going to desegregate," said the Liberal.

"Oh, probably when the North does," replied Eric.

The Liberal ignored Eric's remark and continued, "It's really a shame that racists like Strom Thurmond prevent desegregation."

"By the way," said Eric, "did

you know that Associate Justice Hugo Black of the Supreme Court once accepted a life membership in the Ku Klux Klan?"

The Liberal gave Eric a rather severe look and Eric, ever mindful that peace is nebulous, edged a few feet away.

"The Negroes have been oppressed for centuries and it's time we did something about it," shouted the Liberal.

"But is it any better to force integration than it is to force segregation?" asked Eric. "For instance, what's the difference between lowering educational standards for a Negro because he's a Negro and telling a Negro slave that his great white benefactor will take care of him if he's a good boy like the plantation owner did in the Old South? Aren't we still refusing to recognize the Negro as a man?"

The Liberal gave Eric another funny look, so Eric decided to conclude and then to beat a hasty retreat.—"It's sort of like 'Catch-22.' If the Black people want to remain Black and retain their own culture while living in a White world and White people want the Blacks to accept integration on their terms which implies that the Blacks become Whites, the Negro is in a terrible predicament if it's still true that Black is Black."

With that remark Eric made his exit, leaving the Liberal to ponder the meaning of the Puzzle of the Week.

Your Eyes."

Lakewood Players—"Thurber Carnival."

PI.U—"Christmas Carol"

Movies of Note-

"Catch 22" at the Tacoma Mall Theatre.

"Funny Girl" at the Proctor Theatre, Tacoma.

"Scrooge" at the Blue Mouse in Seattle.

Fort Speech (Cont.)

(Continued from Page 1)

can be more harmful than the drug itself.

In a proposed program to reverse the trend of drug dependency, Dr. Fort said that many things need to be done, and added, "we must do as many of them at the same time as we possibly can." He summarized needed changes in a seven-point plan, beginning with the total ban on all advertisement and promotion of drugs, among which he included alcohol and tobacco. He calls for an end to subsidiaries of hundreds of millions of dollars to tobacco farmers, prominent labeling of all bottles, packages and containers, drug education beginning in grade school and continuing into adult education.

Revamp of drug treatment and rehabilitation programs to include comprehensive out-patient facilities is of prime importance in Dr. Fort's view.

His final point stressed the need to attack the complex roots of alienation, and he quoted Thoreau's words, "There are a thousand people hacking away at the branches of evil for every one striking at the roots."

Dr. Fort offered an alternative to the psychedelic "turn on, tune in, and dropout," way of life in proposing that "in the long run we will be more successful if we turn on to the world to to people, tune in to knowledge and feeling, and drop in to changing and improving the quality of life."

Upcoming Plays—
Tacoma Little Theatre—"Suds in



DR. KENT S. KNUTSON is the second president-elect of the American Lutheran Church.

Theological Elephants

EDITOR'S NOTE: The following article is being reprinted from the Lutheran Standard, May 12, 1970.)

By KENT S. KNUTSON

IDENTIFYING theological trends in the church is not unlike a blind man trying to describe an elephant. He can discover certain shapes and textures but he cannot be sure he has rightly discerned the whole subject! I write from the standpoint of one deeply involved in one of our seminaries and with the conviction that students reflect the inner feelings of the church fairly accurately. They bring their religious convictions with them from their home congregations and mix them with their hopes for the church of the future. They therefore combine the status quo with a leaning toward the future. In this sense, students embody trends.

Two general characteristics are present: First, there is the feeling that we are standing on the threshold of new developments and directions. We do not yet know them all precisely, but there is a sense of expectancy and, I think, of hope. Second, an anxious frustration permeates the hoping. It is

feared that others are not open to change. And that the transition is more drift than direction.

This leads to an underlying restlessness. I do not view this as being rebellious or disloyal but rather a healthy sign. It is at times irritating to church administrators (who like their ideas questioned?) and disconcerting to teachers, but how else are honest questions and feelings made known? Anyone who is not restless at our stage of history must indeed be insensitive to both the Gospel and the times.

Let us look at four trends.

Toward a New Ethics

Our church, and indeed our whole American society, has grown up with an "individualistic" ethics, a style of Christian life which puts the emphasis on the feelings and responsibilities of the individual person, both in faith and in life. There is a trend now towards group consciousness, a demand for inter-relatedness and exercise of social responsibility that is quite sharp and growing.

Seminary students, for example, discuss endlessly their lack of community, not realizing that in their very discussion they are experiencing an important aspect of community. At the same time they seem incapable or unwilling to bring into being the community they desire. And they are deeply conscious of the needs of their fellowmen. The student generation, like many of their elders, identify with the needs of mankind and not only with the Lutheran or greater Christian community.

Two distinct strategies seem to be coming into being. One group tends to be "romantic" in their thinking. Their zeal and idealism lead them to demand instant solutions to problems. Operating out of a rather perfectionist theology, this view instinctively accepts the idea that man can by an act of his will change everything for the better. Only man's lack of willingness stands in the way of a more perfect society. Some would consider this approach to have a serious defect in the understanding of man, but it is not meant to be that. Rather it seeks to express a deep compassion for man hurt by evil.

A second strategy might be described as "realism." Quite aware of the stubbornness of man's sin and the persistence of all institutional structures, some prefer to tackle particular problems with vigor and perhaps prudence. Change can come about only by the judicious use of power to challenge power.

The first group is often charged with the heresy of the "social gospel" but I think that this criticism is hastily made and only applicable to a few. The second group is criticized for being impudent but anyone who challenges power has always been belittled in this way. The only real question is,

are they right in their goals and are their methods acceptable and useful?

Much of this problem centers around a lack of sound tradition in our theology for a social ethic. We need to work hard on this. But it seems clear that the old individualized approach to the Christian life is leaving us.

Toward a New Pietism

I mean by this that the intellectual dimension in theology elicits much less interest than the personal life of faith. Students are not necessarily uninterested in doctrine but it must have a direct relevance to the social good and the inner life of the person. This gap is not always easy to bridge. To follow Jesus seems much more important than knowing of the ancient controversies about his humanity and divinity. How one "feels" about one's relation to Jesus receives much more attention than a right understanding of the Formula of Concord. This I call a new pietism although students probably would not like the description.

This new pietism is not especially threatened by new ideas about the Bible or by charges that they are not traditional. They insist rather that they are Gospel-oriented, which is the right tradition, and that the inner commitment to authority is more biblical than the outward signs of loyalty to church authority or to a particular variety of theological tradition. It is not the kind of pietism that relies heavily on prayer or emotional display but it is deeply suspicious of hypocrisy and the love of power and money. There is a deep desire and search for worship forms which express their longing and need for grace but a sharp rejection of meaningless ritual. The prophetic breaking of tradition is "in." The blind continuance of the past is "out."

Toward Theological Neutralism

This means, further, that theology no longer centers around theological heroes — either men or schools of theology. My generation was rather forced to choose between "orthodoxy" and "pietism." The generation after mine tended to follow Karl Barth, or Rudolf Bultmann, or Paul Tillich, or to emulate Martin Luther in a burst

(Continued on Page 6)

Nominations Open for University Minister

By PAUL WUEST

The deadline date for nominations for the position of University Minister has been moved back one week to this Friday, November 27. The Religious Life Council is very concerned that nominations be allowed to come from a wide area, resulting in the contacting of church officials all over the United States for nominations and in the change of the deadline.

Along with nomination procedures, a great deal of discussion has been held concerning the definition of the role of University Minister. This has included how many people are necessary for an effective campus ministry and just what would he or they be expected to do. The RLC is constitutionally bound to define the role of the University Minister as well as nom-

inate a person to fill the position. They are trying to accomplish one as a preliminary to the other.

Also, in a more specific sense, the RLC has discussed just what kind of man can fill the position in terms of educational background, strong interests, work with youth, etc. Such discussion has not led to anything definite, and it well may not, but the Council members have individually begun to establish priorities that they would first look for in a man to fill the position.

Suggestions from any member of the University community concerning not only nominees for University Minister but any of the things under discussion are welcome. Feel free to talk to any member of the Council or call or write to Paul Wuest if you have any questions

or suggestions.

A number of questions have been raised concerning the procedures that will be followed in the nomination of University Minister. First of all, only one nomination is necessary for a man to be brought into consideration. Secondly, there will be no formal voting by anyone other than the Council itself. The question raised here is, of course, how can one not on the Council show his or her support for a nominee? Considering the procedures to be followed the best answer to that would probably be to talk to the members of the Council. It is a representative body, comprised of students, faculty and administrators, so the channels are there for your voice to be heard.

The procedures as they are tentatively set now include the following. The names of all nominees will be sent to the appropriate church official (the District Officer of whatever synod the nominee belongs to) after the deadline Friday. Early next week a screening committee comprised of members of the Council will visit these officials and receive from them all the information that the district offices can give them. The screening committee will then narrow down the list of candidates to some small number that can easily be considered. These will be brought before the Council.

The RLC will decide to further narrow the list or not and will determine the method of consideration (interviews, telephone calls, or whatever). They will then proceed until one nominee has been selected. This could possibly be completed by the end of the semester, but the Council is not restricted to that deadline.

If a second person is needed, he or she will not be selected until next semester or possibly later.

Letters (Continued)

(Continued from Page 2)

We all know the seriousness and urgency of the drug problem not only here at PLU, but everywhere. Such a symposium has effectively increased the awareness of the PLU community as to the nature, extent, and the implications of drug use. We hope that the efforts of the co-chairmen, as well as all who participated in the symposium, will prove to be of great benefit as solutions for the various problems concerning drugs are sought.

Thank you all again. We are looking forward to more significant and beneficial symposiums in the future.

Sincerely,
Bill Christensen,
ASPLU President

To the Editor:

On Friday, Nov. 13 the small underdeveloped nation of East Pakistan was struck by a series of devastating cyclones, tidal waves, and floods. Officials fear the toll could reach 500,000. Many rate this as one of the worst disasters in the history of mankind.

Last week the Student Senate was asked to consider the appropriation of funds to aid in the Pakistani relief efforts. Credible sources told me that the proposal met a very negative response. It seems rather ironic to me that at an earli-

er meeting the Senate appropriated a sum of over \$400 to send two student draft counselors to San Francisco, yet now no money can be found to help save lives in a distant country.

The Senate's action or inaction leads me to question the priorities of our student government. Perhaps my brother was correct in labeling this institution the "monastery" for its seemed inability to pay anything but lip service to the crises that plague countries of the world less fortunate than our own.

Although I'm extremely disappointed in the Senate's action I realize that ASPLU is not the only source of money on this campus. I encourage dorms and other organizations to make donations to the Red Cross. If this is impossible then I urge individuals to withdraw their dues from the club or dorm treasury, allowing them to decide how their money is to be spent.

The death of 500,000 people in East Pakistan is roughly equivalent to the population of Seattle. I wonder how we Americans will respond to an unexpected crisis that obliterates a city the size of Seattle. Will the fact that Americans rather than Pakistanis are dying influence our response to such a crisis? I would hope not.

—John Hushagen

Dee and Gene's
ARCO
TUNE-UPS
BRAKE SERVICE
STARTER AND GENERATOR
REPAIR
OPEN 7:00 A.M. -- 10:00 P.M.
12166 Pacific LE 7-3040

Ralph Andersen's
PARKLAND CHEVRON
AND
PARKLAND CAR WASH
★ FREE WASH WITH LUBRICATION ★
120th & PACIFIC AVENUE
Phone LE 1-9988

RICHARDS Photographers
SENIORS, STILL TIME FOR SAGA PORTRAIT
Senior Special prices granted to faculty and undergrads.
All orders completed by Christmas.
MA 7-9111 734 PACIFIC AVE.

Austin's
Lakewood
Jewelers
DIAMONDS -- WATCHES
Repairs
VILLA PLAZA
Phone JU 8-4311



THE THREE RETURNING LETTERMEN starting for the Lutes this year include Lyle MacIntosh, Greg Freitag, and Ake Palm.

Under the Grandstand

By DAVE SODERLUND

With the intrasquad game out of the way roundball season is upon us and the time has come for the campus to awake from its fall slumbers to support the school's favorite sport.

What does the 1970-71 season have in store for the Lutes? First of all, there is the problem of putting together a team out of a bunch of new faces and organizing the obvious talent into a team that will score points and play defense. PLU could be right up there in the NWC dog-fight once again and should have a better chance now that Linfield is without the seniors that won last year's crown for them.

Before that happens Coach Lundgaard has a few things to sort out. The first is whether or not to run. Practices this year have been geared toward a fast-break offense, but during the intrasquad game a lot of potential breaks were ruined by hesitancy. PLU has the speed to run this year, a factor that was missing on last year's team, but whether the team can concentrate on running enough to make it work effectively is another thing.

The Lutes have a few minor problems in the backcourt as well. Junior captain Lyle McIntosh has succeeded Kevin Miler as floor leader and seems to have one starting spot nailed down but determining who will be his partner is another story. Senior John Rankin was the logical choice until he opted to bag basketball in favor of a biology research trip to the Marshall Islands and the job remains wide open. Tom Patnode, who started Friday night, and Don Martinik are a pair of transfers from TCC who can score but their ability to change from wide-open JC ball to the more disciplined game favored by Lundgaard is questionable. Also, JC transfers, as a rule—and ours this year are no exception—tend to have a few problems with defense. Returning letterman Bruce Willis is an accurate and deft passer but he has had limited experience as a guard and has not yet had the chance to show whether he can come up with the all-important points.

Mike Willis, a 6-4 transfer from Green River CC, should provide rebounding strength and points at forward and sophomore Denny Phillips, who transferred last year from Montana State, appears to be able to use his 6-8 height both at forward and center for added depth. The talent is there—it's up to both Coach Lundgaard and the team to make use of it.

At the risk of applying an early jinx, I'd like to mention the pair of guards that started the game for the jayvees. Both Randy Leeland and Neal Anderson are facing the task of living up to the performances of their brothers, who also were basketballers at PLU. Randy had a chance to show his stuff during the intrasquad game and seems to have promise as a team leader and ball handler as well as a scorer. His success was partially due to the fact that the varsity put their best defense on Neal, who may be the best shot on either team. The presence of both of them on the jayvees should make for an exciting year and assures coach Lundgaard of once again being knee-deep in guards.

Revised hours for Thanksgiving day use of campus athletic facilities are as follows: the pool will be open on Thursday from 7 p.m. to 9 p.m. and the gym will be open from 9 a.m. to 2 p.m. and 7 p.m. to 9 p.m. Take note in case you want to work off the after-effects of the food service turkey.

Over half of the student body has never experienced the unique feeling of being part of a capacity crowd at a basketball game in Memorial Gym. How about scheduling our home games against Central and UPS in the old gym to provide an educational experience for the new and a memory trip for the old—not to mention a little experiment in the area of home court advantages.

Lute Cagers Eye Season Opener

The latest edition of the PLU basketball team put on a friendly exhibition Friday night in the form of the annual intrasquad game. Predictably, the varsity beat the junior varsity 100-78, but that's only part of the story.

The varsity opened with Lyle MacIntosh and Tom Patnode at guards, Mike Willis and Greg Freitag at forwards, and Ake Palm at center, while JV coach John Malmgren countered with Randy Leeland and Neal Anderson at guards, John Corman and Dennis Phillips at forwards, and Roger Wiley at center. Substitution was free in an attempt to see all of the players under game conditions but the starters saw plenty of action.

During the opening minutes neither team could establish much offense or sufficient rebounding to fast break. The varsity pulled away

from a 19-11 tie to lead throughout the remainder of the game, building the lead to 14 points at the half.

With a warm hand in the second half the varsity moved away for good and were able to engineer a few breakaways, a new wrinkle in the old, deliberate offense favored by Coach Lundgaard during the past few seasons. The varsity shot a neat 54.5% during the second half and 48% for the game to 44% for the jayvees and held a 5-35 rebounding edge as well.

In an intrasquad game offensive surprises are impossible and therefore much of the scoring was due to successful free-lancing rather than to good execution. Tom Patnode, a junior transfer from TCC, led the varsity with 19 points while Palm and Terry Finseth added 14 each. Mike Willis hit for 13, Don Martinik 12, and Lyle McIntosh popped in 11. For the junior varsity, Roger Wiley bagged 19 to share game honors with Patnode, while Jay Stuller hit 6 of 10 field goals for 12 points and Phillips and Leeland followed with 11 and 10 points respectively.

Although the action was a little ragged at times, Lundgaard got a chance to see all of his new faces, including six JC transfers in action and played host to a few surprises. The biggest problem

facing the Lutes in the early part of the season is pooling the talent and learning to play together.

PLU opens the season away from home on December 1 at Simon Fraser. The first home game is on Saturday night, Dec. 5 against the Central Washington Wildcats who defeated the Lutes in the NAIA District 1 Playoffs last year and went on to place second in the National Tournament. Both of these games should give an early indication of just how strong the Knight troops can be and how much work remains to mold an effective, unified team.

The Northwest Conference is somewhat of a puzzle this year. Linfield, last year's champions, lost virtually their whole team to diploma route and are a question mark for this season. Lewis and Clark returns a veteran team that could cause some problems but hopefully will not be the class of what is traditionally a strong league. PLU is without the services of five men who started at various times last year and must fill in the holes to be in contention. It should be an interesting season.

BLUE SPRUCE MOTEL

ONE AND TWO BEDROOM UNITS
SOME WITH KITCHENS — PHONES
FREE TV AND COFFEE
NEAREST TO P.L.U.
12715 PACIFIC AVENUE
Tacoma, Wash.
LE 1-6111

PHONE LE 7-5361

College Cleaners

Parkland's Quality Dry Cleaners
11416 PARK AVENUE
PARKLAND, WASH.



ROD
McKUEN
In Concert

THIS SUN. NITE ONLY — NOV. 29 - 7 p.m.

SEATTLE CENTER OPERA HOUSE
\$6.50 - \$5.50 - \$4.50

GOOD SEATS AVAILABLE AT
All Suburban Outlets, Bon Marche, Tacoma Mall
Box Office opens 3 p.m. Sunday

Dr. Wiegman Outlines Tuition Hike

To dispel current rumors on the campus concerning a proposed tuition increase for next year, Dr. Wiegman delineated reasons for the planned one-hundred dollar hike.

Explaining that tuition fees are only applied to certain areas of the University budget, Wiegman stated that the cost of living rise, an increase in University services

and previous budget deficits have made the increase necessary.

The increase needed offset the rise in the cost of living is self-explanatory, however the other two areas require elaboration. Services to students have increased in two areas in the past year. The staff of counselling and testing was enlarged by one member, and a teacher placement director was hired.

In addition, the University has suffered from two deficit budgets. The first was in 1968-69 and a slight deficit incurred last year. Both of these losses must be made up.

Although tuition costs will rise, if approved by the regents in February, Wiegman is not asking for

an increase in room and board, nor will student fees rise unless ASPLU requests a change. Students receiving scholarships and loans can expect a proportional increase in these funds.

The increase, which will bring tuition costs to \$1500, is typical of hikes throughout the Pacific Northwest. Although our costs are rising, PLU's tuition still remains the lowest for any private college in the area. Tuition costs at UPS will rise to \$1800, at Lewis and Clark to \$1900, and at Whitworth to \$1800.

Tuition fees represent only 70% of the cost of education for PLU students, the rest being paid by the University through scholarships, loans and the endowment fund. Currently a \$250,000 estate is being acquired, and that money will be put into the scholarship endowment fund. Interest from the estate will go exclusively to scholarships.

Noting student concern about the application of tuition funds to building projects, Dr. Wiegman stressed the fact that major buildings, like the U.C., are financed from other areas, such as LIFE, special donations and loans.

YARNS and NEEDLECRAFT Christmas Gifts

KNIT and PURL
406 Garfield LE 7-5317

ALL STUDENT NEEDS

Cosmetics * Greeting Cards * Photo Equipment * Magazines

JOHNSON DRUG

AT THE CORNER
GARFIELD AND PACIFIC AVE.

9:00 a.m. - 9:00 p.m. Weekdays
11:00 a.m. - 7:00 p.m. Sundays

ASPLU Senate Endorses Card Keys for Frosh Women

On Thursday, November 19, the ASPLU Senate passed a resolution in support of a petition to extend card key privileges to second semester freshman women. This represents the first official approval by a student or administrative body, that the issue has received.

Introduced earlier in the semester by a group of freshmen, the question of card key use is currently being studied by the AWS Standards Board. If approved by them, the petition for change of policy must be examined by Dean Wickstrom, Dr. Leasure and President Wiegman.

The students working in favor of the extension presented five main arguments to support their contention. Karin Strand, one of the initiators, pointed out that freshmen are just as responsible by second semester as other students and have already made the adjustment to college life. Adding that parents are better judges of their daughters maturity than the

University, Karin said that all girls would have to have parental permission to receive a card key. She added, "This should be a decision made between the parents and the daughter, not between the parent and the university."

While official university policy allows housemothers to grant special card key privileges, there has been a discrepancy in its application which has led to further complaints about the hours system.

Seven Interim Tours Approved

Seven off-campus studies approved for January's Interim were announced from the Provost's office last week: English 317 "The Theater Scene of London" — R. Klopsch; Bus. Ad./Pol. Sci. 314 "Business, Politics and the Common Market"—W. Hutcheon and W. Ulbrich; for Lang. 319 "German at the Goethe-Institute"—see R.

Another discrepancy, the coeds commented, was that freshman men have no hours, thus discriminating against the women students. Nancy Lieurance also noted that freshman women would benefit by the extended library hours, which other students now enjoy.

Petitions have been placed in each of the dorms and students favoring the change in policy are asked to sign them. For further information students should speak with their dorm vice president.

Swenson. Hist./Phil. 301 "The Iberian Peninsula" — W. Schnackenberg, G. Arbaugh; Rel. 300 "Interim Israel 1971"—S. Govig; Biology 304 "The Desert Biome"—H. Lenaas, R. Heyer; and Rel./Soc. 309 "The Navajo Reservation"—E. Eklund, R. Jobst.

Tours which have been cancelled include Art 317 "Art of the 18th Century—London and Paris" (Students are encouraged to register for English 317.); Hist. 305 "American West: The National Park System and the Environmental Crisis;" Pol. Sci. 313 "Comparative Legislative Systems;" and Arts/Comm. Arts 315 "New York City Cultural Scene—Theatre and Art." Registration for the off-campus interim classes is still open. Full payment must be made in the Business Office by December 1, and it is urged that registration for alternate courses be completed as soon as possible.

'World in Brief' to Premiere Tonight

By KEN MALMIN

Mr. Judd Doughty's radio production class has been working overtime the last two weeks. Why? They have been nursing and rehearsing their final class production.

Given the theme, "World in Brief," presented tonight at 9:30, the writing staff came up with a unique approach. They wanted to present a contrast between child and adult worlds. The contrast being centered on topics found in our world today.

The production members went to homes and schools taping conversations with children. And came

back with some negative views, some positive views, and a few surprises. The writers then built the script and production around these children's observations.

The show is a serious effort by the class and gives insight into many of the world's situations today. It is not a long production, thus it moves quickly, which is why it will be played twice.

The radio production class has come up with a good final show this semester and would like some listeners tonite at 9:30. So, tune in KPLU, FM and hear "World in Brief." That's tonight at 9:30, tune in.

Knudson (Continued)

(Continued from Page 6)

of interest in a system-centered theology.

No one holds such authority today. Barth is little read, Tillich is only looked at, Luther is interesting but not final. Theological talk falls back on the Bible most often. Rather than an uncritical acceptance there is a struggle to find its meaning. St. Paul or St. John are investigated with great interest to discover what they mean and how they relate to the realities of life.

Toward a New Sense of Ministry

One must interpret all that has been said with the assumption that there is a driving desire to serve. There is new interest in the parish ministry because it is recognized that that is one place to serve. But the questions come persistently and consistently: Why limit ministry to parish structures? Why load ministry with administration and surround it with laws and bylaws and structures from above? Why not new forms of ministry?

Ordination, its value and function, is discussed over and over again. If we have sent missionaries abroad to "non-parish" situations for decades, why cannot we send ordained men to the great sections of our own society

which are as pagan as any foreign land and which are suffering from injustice and poverty and helplessness?

If you put a new social consciousness together with a new pietism and theological neutralism and feed that into an intense desire to serve people both in and without the present structures of the church, there is indeed a new shape to the understanding of the Gospel. Perhaps it is a new awakening which will bring new life and power to the church.

There can be little doubt that there is a spirit of independence and honesty that cannot be shunted aside. It will have its day. We all had better listen.

LISTEN TO
KPLU-FM
88.5
RADIO-FREE
PARKLAND

Lou's Place
Dancing

The Place to go for Contemporary Music

OPEN EVERY DAY

Live Music Every
Wed., Thurs., Fri & Sat.

—
This Week
"SIDDARTHA"

Professional catering to groups
of 25 to 100

Go out Pacific Ave. to Roy
Y, turn left on Mountain
HiWay, 2 1/2 miles.

ARTISTRY IN FLOWERS

Stella's
FLOWERS, Inc.

12169 Pacific Avenue

Phone 537-0205

Stella and Ken Jacobs

Engagement Photos . . .

WEDDINGS PORTRAITS

Hurry — Call Now

BELL STUDIO

In Parkland

14106 PACIFIC AVE. LE 7-6217

ANGELO'S

PIZZA — RAVIOLI
SPAGHETTI — CHICKEN

Ron-Dee-Voo
"On the Mountain Highway"

141st & Pacific Avenue

—CLOSED MONDAYS—
ANGELO MARZANO, Proprietor



WOMEN'S BASKETBALL

Practice for women's basketball practice will begin Monday, Nov. 30 at 4:30 in Memorial Gym. All women interested in playing on the team should be there at that time or contact Mrs. Phillips.

UNIVERSITY CENTER BOARD

The University Center Board meets weekly on Wednesday at 5:30 p.m. Students are welcome to attend or forward items for the agenda. Student members of the Board are John Lauderback, Connie Stonach, Steve Carlson, and Bill Zander.

ATTENTION PRE-LAW STUDENTS

Dr. Frank Read, asst. Dean of Duke University Law School, will be on campus Wednesday, Dec. 2. All students interested in the study of law are invited to meet with him at 10:30 a.m. in A-217.

CHRISTMAS RECRUITING

Brochures, pamphlets, catalogues and a limited number of slides are available to students interested in contacting friends about attending PLU. The admission staff would be grateful for this kind of help and encourage students to consider this.

FACULTY TRIO RECITAL

The Department of Music at Pacific Lutheran University will present their FACULTY TRIO in concert on Wednesday, December 2 at 8:15 p.m. in Eastvold Chapel: ANN TREMAINE, violinist, VIVIAN KING, cellist and CALVIN KNAPP, pianist.

PCS FORM AVAILABLE

The Financial Aids office now has the Parents Confidential Statement form available to students. This form must be filled out to receive aid for the 1971-72 school year.

If "life insurance" turns you off, how does "Money for Living" grab you?

A key word in life insurance is "life". Because it's for the living. You and your beneficiary.

Put simply, you and Aid Association for Lutherans make a contract to reach a certain financial goal. As you build toward that goal, your contract amasses "living" money that could be the basis for all your plans for the future. Money you can use for

any purpose — down payment on a home, new car, business of your own, rainy day fund.

Right now you're probably in good health and can buy "money for living" insurance at the lowest possible rate. Have a no obligation visit with your AAL representative. He'll help you put some "life" in life insurance. He represents our common concern for human worth.

Merle R. Vertheen, FIC
Route 12, Box 798
Olympia, Washington 98501

Aid Association for Lutherans  Appleton, Wisconsin
Fraternalife Insurance
Life • Health • Retirement

