

Pacific Lutheran College Bulletin

Published quarterly by Pacific Lutheran College at Tacoma and Parkland, Washington. Entered as second-class matter April 26, 1927, at the postoffice at Tacoma, Washington, under the Act of August 24, 1912.

VOL. XI

FEBRUARY, 1932

No. 4

PACIFIC LUTHERAN COLLEGE A MISSIONARY ENTERPRISE



J. P. PFLUEGER
Professor of Christianity
and Philosophy
Pacific Lutheran College

The cause of Christian Education on the Pacific Coast is essentially a Missionary Crusade. Our Lutheran forces, in their divided condition, have been at work, for years, seeking to occupy this vast field for Christ. The work has been slow—too slow—and yet we cannot be true to our faith and admit defeat. There must be a path to victory, if we but see the leading of Christ, our Head.

This field is strategic for the whole Lutheran and Christian Church of America. It is the last frontier. It faces the mighty forces of pagan Asia on the west. It holds a pivotal position on the Pacific basin, around the rim of which, if history reveals anything, will be waged the great struggle between paganism, political, economic, and religious, and Christianity. Which will possess the field? Christ or paganism? We have no doubt as to the ultimate victory of Christ. But the conquest of Islam should warn us that Christ holds as His own only those nations that are worthy.

The Pacific Slope is starred with Lutheran missions, and contains a multitude of unchurched Lutherans. But the proportion of unchurched is greater than in any other section of the United States. The forces of paganism, foreign and domestic, are colossal. The Lord must have a plan of battle, if we but follow His leading.

Can we remain blind to the finger of God? Let our Lutheran forces rally about Pacific Lutheran College. This will marshal us into a great spiritual unity. Above all, it will sow throughout the length and breadth of this field Christian leaders, teachers, farmers, bankers, lawyers, doctors, business men, and others, who will form the key-men in our churches and missions.

Under God's providence, Pacific Lutheran College has already been blessed and been a blessing in this respect. We have tangible evidence of this. We need to emphasize more this side of Christian Education. It is not only to educate pastors and missionaries, as important as that is. Intelligent Christian laymen are what the Church needs. Our college, which in a few years has grown from less than a hundred students to over two hundred and fifty, gives evidence that it is worthy of the emphasis now placed upon it as an educational institution.

What a blessing Pacific Lutheran College can and will become for Christ, our West, and the whole Lutheran Church, if the vision of its far-seeing leaders is carried to fulfillment! God wills it!

In Christ's Name,

J. P. PFLUEGER.

SURELY THIS IS NOT THE TIME TO STOP

Consider this semi-graphic exhibit of the growth in enrollment at Pacific Lutheran College year by year. The figures at the end represent the yearly totals, summing up the other figures line by line.

1920-21	5555555555553	—68
1921-22	55555555555552	—87
1922-23	55555555555554	—84
1923-24	55555555555552	—117
1924-25	55555555555555 5555555555552	—162
1925-26	555555555555555 5555555553	—143
1926-27	555555555555555 55555555552	—157
1927-28	555555555555555 5555555555553	—178
1928-29	555555555555555 55555555555552	—187
1929-30	555555555555555 5555555555555 555554	—214
1930-31	555555555555555 5555555555555 555555552	—237
1931-32	555555555555555 5555555555555 55555555551	—261

Ought we not plan for three hundred students for next year?

1932-33 555555555555555 5555555555555 55555555555555555555

Let us face the facts and ask ourselves the question: What does the Lord want us to do next?

WHAT IS NEXT?

- I. WE NEED A DORMITORY FOR GIRLS.
- II. WE NEED TO SPEED UP OUR DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.
- III. WE NEED A GREATER MEASURE OF CO-OPERATIVE SUPPORT.
- IV. WE NEED TO MEET THIS YEAR'S DEFICIT NOW.

I.

WE NEED A DORMITORY FOR GIRLS

A. The President States the Reasons Why We need it Now.

1. We need the first unit of a dormitory for girls now because the enrollment is increasing steadily year by year, has this year reached 261, and will probably reach 300 next year. So large a student body makes so many other demands upon our present Main Building, that the girls should be provided with a building of their own.

2. We should build the first unit of a dormitory for girls now because construction costs are at a minimum. The development of the College demands that such a building be provided eventually and soon; so why not take advantage of the low building costs and the employment situation prevailing now?

3. We need the first unit of a dormitory for girls now because the library presses for greatly enlarged quarters in the Main Building, the teachers need additional classrooms and offices, the boys need additional space, and it is not advisable to try to remodel the Main Building to meet all these needs and the requirements of a girls' dormitory besides.

4. We need the first unit of a dormitory for girls now because it is the next visible step in the development program which was outlined in 1929, and which has been approved by the Pacific Lutheran College Association, the legal owners of the College. Success has come, and will continue to come, with the honest effort to meet our full responsibility.

O. A. TINGELSTAD.



MRS. LORA B.
KREIDLER
Dean of Women

Pacific Lutheran College

*B. The Dean of Women Pleads for More
Adequate Quarters.*

I know of no other thing that would mean more to the College than the building of a dormitory for women. One can, of course, carry on in our present inadequate quarters, if necessary, just as one can live in the back rooms adjoining office or shop—but it isn't really a home. It lacks among other things one essential quality—privacy. We love the old building for its associations and its traditions, but we surely would appreciate a fine new modern home. And I believe such a home would have a very fine influence and leave a lasting imprint on the girls privileged to live there.

LORA B. KREIDLER.

C. The Architect's Analysis Supports the Plea.

From my own experience and the data which I have been able to gather, I should say that the ideal number of girls for each residential hall would be from thirty-five to fifty. This unit, of course, would include the suite of rooms for the house mother, who will be responsible for these girls. It seems to be a common idea, that fifty girls are quite enough for one house mother, especially if she does some teaching. In some schools, especially the larger colleges and universities, the number of girls under the supervision of one faculty member has been increased to seventy-five or one hundred. In these cases very rarely does this person do any teaching. The only advantage in the larger number is that it cuts down the expense of maintaining and building so many house mother suites. This, of course, is offset in having a heavier teaching load expense.

Experience has proven, I believe, that much finer results can be obtained if the smaller units are used. This problem will have to be settled before any layouts can be made. However, I believe your people will be largely in favor of the closer and more intimate supervision of their daughters' social life, especially during their first five years in school. Consequently, if the unit can be financed, I would recommend that your residential halls be so designed that they will house fifty girls. I understand that at present you have thirty-eight living in the dormitory, or, rather, in the Main Building. This will give you a slight margin to go on. Later, either smaller or larger units, as your experience dictates, can be added.

No doubt the question has been raised with you on a number of occasions as to why it should be necessary ultimately to sacrifice the present Main Building. It is only logical that this question should come up. If one will take into consideration the service this building has already given and will give in the future before your program has been fully completed, I think he will be inclined to believe that it has served its time.

There are a number of reasons why this building should not be a part of your ultimate development. In the first place, it is not a fire-proof or a substantially built building, all interior walls and floors and roof being of frame. It is a constant fire hazard, making it unsuitable for either a library or the storing of other valuables. Neither should it be used for large gatherings, especially on the upper floors. To fire-proof and modernize this building, finish the top floors, and install elevators so that these top floors could be conveniently used, would involve an

expense which I believe would be excessive, considering the results obtained. Even then you would have a building which is contrary to present educational needs and standards and would not fit in with your final scheme. It is not difficult to reason that it should not be used as a girls' dormitory, especially considering the activities now housed there. For some time to come it will serve very usefully as a boys' dormitory, for classrooms, and for offices for the administration and faculty. Very little expense is necessary to maintain these facilities.

While I agree that this problem will be up for discussion many times, I do not feel that it has to be solved right now. We are doing nothing to injure this building, either for the present or in the future, and, as time goes on, I believe it will prove that it has served its time. To preserve this building as long as possible was one of our aims in deciding upon our development layout.

CHARLES ALTFILLISCH.

D. Why a Funding Plan to Finance This Dormitory Project?

Because the readers of this BULLETIN are interested in Pacific Lutheran College, they are also interested in the facts regarding the funding plan adopted by the Trustees as a means of raising funds to build a dormitory for girls.

QUESTION: What is the Funding Plan?

ANSWER: It is a plan to borrow money.

QUESTION: What will you receive as security for your money?

ANSWER: First—A savings refund certificate, issued by Lutheran Mutual Aid Society of Waverly, Iowa, (an insurance society that has been in business continuously for 53 years).

Second—An agreement from the Board of Trustees, guaranteeing to pay the society for your certificate.

Third—Protection for your family in the event of death, at a reduced cost to yourself.

Fourth—An opportunity to assist your College to meet an urgent need, as well as the privilege of joining with thousands of other Lutherans in the cause of protection.

QUESTION: Suppose a savings refund certificate has been issued to you. What are the benefits of such a certificate?

ANSWER: First—Safety of your funds and an immediate increase of your estate.

Second—Additional credit.

Third—A cash reserve after the second year.

Fourth—Immediate cash in the event of your death.

Fifth—The advantage of a trust agreement. You may provide now for the expenditure of your money after death. This service is provided without additional cost, and your funds are free from taxation.

Sixth—An income for your declining years.

QUESTION: How long will the Trustees pay the Society for your certificate?

ANSWER: Until the cash value of your certificate equals the amount of your loan to the Trustees.

QUESTION: What benefits are provided for the College through this plan of finance?

ANSWER: First—Immediate cash.

Second—A low interest rate.

Third—The College corporation does not have to mortgage its physical property.

Fourth—In the event of your death, the Trustees are relieved from further payment to Lutheran Mutual Aid Society, and the full amount of your certificate is paid to your beneficiary at once.

Fifth—All loans are paid on or before ten years from the date of the certificate.

QUESTION: What assurance have you that the Trustees will pay Lutheran Mutual Aid Society for your certificate?

ANSWER: First—The College has enough endowment fund assets and other liquid assets to pay in full every outstanding obligation without touching any of its real estate or other property. In other words, if the Church or the Board of Trustees should vote to close the College permanently, all debts could be paid without touching the real estate or the material property of the institution.

Second—The Norwegian Lutheran Church of America has passed the strongest resolution in its history in support of Pacific Lutheran College.

Third—The College enjoys the official support of the American Lutheran Church and of the Columbia Conference of the Augustana Synod.

Fourth—The College is showing a consistent record of growth and progress in every way.

Fifth—The College is alone in a large field that is rightly called "The Land of the Future."

Sixth—The faculty and students of Pacific Lutheran College work together in a splendid spirit of Christian cooperation and harmony.

QUESTION: What excuse can you render your Heavenly Father for denying the use of funds He has permitted you to control?

Scripture:

"I was once young and am now old, yet have I never seen the righteous forsaken, nor his seed begging bread."

"Give and it shall be given unto you; pressed down, heaped up, and shaken together shall man give into your bosom; for with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again."

"But God said unto him, Thou fool, this night thy soul shall be required of thee; then whose shall those things be, which thou hast provided?"

"So is he that layeth up treasure for himself and is not rich toward God."

"God owns the cattle on a thousand hills and the gold within the hills."

YOU ARE HIS STEWARD!

L. C. ELLIOTT.

(Mr. L. C. Elliott is the District Representative of Lutheran Mutual Aid Society and can be reached at his office address: 719 Washington Bldg., Telephone Broadway 3706, Tacoma, Washington. The present contract between Lutheran Mutual Aid Society and the Board of Trustees of Pacific Lutheran College expires next April.)

II.

WE NEED TO SPEED UP OUR DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

A. This Is Our Golden Opportunity.

Our development program was first formulated and approved in 1929, when the immediate prospects of the College and the conditions in the Church were such as to suggest twenty years as the period within which the projected development might be completed, the goal being an effective educational institution, equipped to take care of five hundred students. Since 1929, however, many factors have combined to emphasize the need and the possibility of speeding up our development program.

In the first place, the number of Lutheran Christians that can cheerfully and

conscientiously co-operate in the development and maintenance of Pacific Lutheran College has been greatly increased, probably doubled or trebled, by the organization of the American Lutheran Conference in October, 1930. This Conference, composed of five Lutheran synods, points to greater Lutheran unity and co-operation.

In the second place, the pressure of higher educational standards and greater economic strain has made it increasingly obvious that Pacific Lutheran College must for some time to come accept educational responsibility for a larger territory than before, as it seems out of the question to launch new similar ventures now.

In the third place, the influence of Pacific Lutheran College as a humble factor in helping to keep America Christian is increasingly felt in ever widening circles. The reputation of the College was never better. We know of no school of its type that has a greater number of professing friends.

Finally, despite the depression, the enrollment of students has shown a steady growth, averaging about 12% per year since the formulation of the development program three years ago. At this rate of increase, if it is consistently maintained, the enrollment will reach the 500-mark in the school year of 1937-38, five and a half years hence. Located on the outskirts of a large and growing and friendly city in the Pacific Northwest, Pacific Lutheran College would surely not be justified in failing to plan in terms of the possible growth in prospect, as above indicated.

This is therefore the golden opportunity of Pacific Lutheran College to discharge a God-given responsibility of serious magnitude.



Victor A. Elvestrom



Paul A. Preus



George O. Lane

B. God Has Given Us Men With the Will to Do.

Knowing the field better than anyone else, and sensing the true nature of our responsibility and the magnitude of our problem, Mr. Paul A. Preus and Mr. Victor A. Elvestrom, both experienced members of our field force, have, with the consent of our Board of Trustees, gone into the Middle West to enlist the support of American Lutheran Conference leaders and other friends for a movement to condense the twenty-year development program into five years. They have voluntarily terminated their salary contracts and are doing this new work without expense to the College. They have the insight, the ability, the consecration, and the courage to lead. If only our Christian people have the faith and the vision and the willingness to co-operate, we can, by the grace of God, solve our problem now by following the leadership of these men. Meanwhile Rev. Geo. O. Lane remains alone in the field on the Pacific Coast and resolutely faces his trebled responsibility.

C. The Endowment Appeal of 1927 Is Very Helpful, But Not Enough

Nine hundred thirty of the endowment pledges made in 1927 had been paid in full up to November 23, 1931. These funds have been invested mostly in bonds, all rated A or better at the time of purchase; and, in spite of the depression, not

one dollar has been lost through default in interest payments. The College feels the need sorely of more such funds. It is with deep gratitude that the College officials make public herewith the fourth list of paid-up endowment pledges, 101 in number. These pledges were paid in full between November 24, 1931, and February 15, 1932, and bring the total number of paid-up pledges up to 1,061.

PAID UP ENDOWMENT PLEDGES—LIST NO. 4

Name	Date Paid in Full and Amount of Pledge	Name	Date Paid in Full and Amount of Pledge
Aagvik, John D.,	Jan. 22, 1932. \$ 25.00	Kvale, T.,	Dec. 30, 1931 50.00
Aalbu, Ole,	Dec. 30, 1931 50.00	Kvindlog, Mrs. Alethe,	Nov. 25, 1931 100.00
Andersen, Mrs. K.,	Feb. 6, 1932 25.00	Lanning, H. O.,	Nov. 30, 1931 50.00
Andersen, C. B.,	Nov. 25, 1931 30.00	Larson, Minnie T.,	Dec. 7, 1931 125.00
Andersen, Gudmund,	Feb. 8, 1932 75.00	Lee, C. A.,	Jan. 18, 1932 100.00
Andersen, Jacob,	Dec. 11, 1931 50.00	Logen, Mrs. Andria,	Dec. 30, 1931 200.00
Apeland, Oscar,	Jan. 18, 1932 25.00	Magnussen, Ann L.,	Dec. 30, 1931 125.00
Askeland, S.,	Dec. 7, 1931 50.00	Magnussen, Harold M.,	Dec. 30, 1931 50.00
Bergeson, V.,	Jan. 5, 1932 25.00	Moldrem, Molla P.,	Feb. 12, 1932 100.00
Berven, Mrs. Anna,	Feb. 12, 1932 25.00	Molstre, John,	Jan. 15, 1932 50.00
Birkelo, R. C.,	Nov. 25, 1931 75.00	Myklebust, K. T.,	Jan. 5, 1932 100.00
Birkestol, Ole,	Jan. 5, 1932 100.00	Ness, H.,	Jan. 29, 1932 25.00
Bjerge, Linda,	Nov. 30, 1931 60.00	Norton, E. A.,	Jan. 5, 1932 25.00
Brakhus, John,	Dec. 19, 1931 50.00	Odland, Dr. H.,	Nov. 25, 1931 25.00
Bralye, Margrethe,	Jan. 7, 1932 100.00	Olsen, Andrew,	Jan. 5, 1932 100.00
Brevig, Rev. T. L.,	Jan. 2, 1932 25.00	Olsen, Martin,	Jan. 22, 1932 25.00
Bue, Hans,	Jan. 18, 1932 25.00	Olsen, Oscar,	Dec. 11, 1931 125.00
Carlson, Elias,	Feb. 1, 1932 100.00	Omundsen, Svend,	Dec. 3, 1931 125.00
Christensen, Clara M.,	Dec. 3, 1931 100.00	Ostgard, Ole,	Dec. 19, 1931 100.00
Daneri, Marie—Hanson, Anna,	Dec. 22, 1931 100.00	Pedersen, Ingvald,	Dec. 3, 1931 25.00
Earland, Hanna,	Dec. 7, 1931 50.00	Peterson, Mary H.,	Jan. 29, 1932 375.00
Edwards, Rev. O. J.,	Jan. 16, 1932 200.00	Rasmussen, Johanna,	Dec. 3, 1931 100.00
Engen, Edward,	Dec. 22, 1931 300.00	Rees, Ole K.,	Dec. 3, 1931 100.00
Erickson, Carl,	Jan. 7, 1932 100.00	Rokdal, T. H.,	Jan. 5, 1932 25.00
Erickson, R.,	Dec. 11, 1931 100.00	Rogers, B. M.,	Aug. 17, 1931 100.00
Fir-Conway Ladies' Aid Society,	Jan. 11, 1932 500.00	Ronnei, Orve P.,	Dec. 3, 1931 100.00
First Luth Ladies' Aid, Pasco, Wn.,	Jan. 22, 1932 50.00	Shurson, Rev. George,	Jan. 11, 1932 25.00
Flakstad, R.,	Dec. 22, 1931 125.00	Slovarp, Emil P.,	Dec. 11, 1931 50.00
Foss, Magda,	Dec. 16, 1931 100.00	Steedahl, Anna S.,	Jan. 7, 1932 50.00
Frojen, Hans,	Dec. 19, 1931 60.05	Storle, Garfield,	Feb. 12, 1932 100.00
Frostad & Sandwick,	Jan. 18, 1932 1000.00	Storli, P. Oscar,	Jan. 7, 1932 50.00
Ganes, H. A.,	Dec. 3, 1931 50.00	Sundby, M. A.,	Dec. 11, 1931 110.00
Gangsei, Rev. N. A.,	Jan. 15, 1932 60.00	Swee, Mrs. Inga E.,	Nov. 25, 1931 50.00
Gunderson, M. G.,	Feb. 6, 1932 350.00	Swoen, Tim,	Dec. 7, 1931 250.00
Halsten, Ole,	Jan. 7, 1932 100.00	Teller, Mrs. A. C.,	Dec. 11, 1931 25.00
Hansen, Ole,	Jan. 15, 1932 250.00	Tengs, T. I.,	Dec. 30, 1931 25.00
Hanson, Bertha,	Jan. 2, 1932 125.00	Thompson, Louis,	Jan. 11, 1932 25.00
Hanson, H. O.,	Jan. 5, 1932 50.00	Tingelstad, Mr. & Mrs. E.,	Feb. 8, 1932 250.00
Hanson, Mildred,	Jan. 5, 1932 50.00	Tollum, Anton,	Jan. 18, 1932 25.00
Hansteen, Peter,	Jan. 7, 1932 25.00	Torgerson, Andrew,	Dec. 3, 1931 25.00
Heggeness, O. J.,	Jan. 22, 1932 50.00	Torrison, Sigurd B.,	Dec. 7, 1931 25.00
Hokenstad, M. T.,	Jan. 2, 1932 100.00	Torvend, Silas B.,	Feb. 12, 1932 70.00
Holte, P. J.,	Jan. 7, 1932 500.00	Towe, Rev. A. J.,	Jan. 11, 1932 50.00
Holton, Edward,	Nov. 30, 1931 25.00	Trommald, Dr. H. G.,	Jan. 22, 1932 50.00
Hovde, T. P.,	Jan. 29, 1932 50.00	Tweit, Olaf,	Feb. 6, 1932 25.00
Jacobus, Mrs. M. S.,	Feb. 6, 1932 25.00	Vaa, Mrs. Albert G.,	Jan. 11, 1932 25.00
Johnson, Gust E.,	Jan. 7, 1932 50.00	Widstrand, A. L.,	Dec. 3, 1931 25.00
Johnson, Mrs. Louise,	Jan. 15, 1932 500.00	Young People's Luth League (Minneapolis)	Dec. 16, 1931 500.00
Jorgenson, H. B.,	Dec. 19, 1931 200.00		
Kiekenapp, W. E.,	Dec. 16, 1931 50.00	Pledges in full since Nov. 23, 1931	\$11,930.05
Kildall, J. L.,	Dec. 23, 1931 75.00	Pledges in full to Nov. 23, 1931	71,558.77
Knutson, K. J.,	Jan. 18, 1932 50.00	Pledges in full to Feb. 15, 1932	\$ 83,488.82
Knutzen, Chris.,	Dec. 22, 1931 1250.00	Partial payments, all other pledges	53,502.38
		Total paid, Feb. 15, 1932	\$136,991.20

III.

WE NEED A GREATER MEASURE OF CO-OPERATIVE SUPPORT

Realizing the need of a greater measure of co-operative support for the right solution of the Pacific Lutheran College problem, prominent leaders within the American Lutheran Conference, including at least three synodical presidents, have agreed to meet in Parkland, at the College, on May 3 and 4, 1932, for thorough study and intimate discussion of the problem of co-operative support of our one Western school.

Pastors and other friends are cordially invited to attend this meeting, which will in all probability point the destiny of Pacific Lutheran College for years to come.

Friends of the cause of Christian education will earnestly pray that the blessing of the Lord will rest upon these deliberations.

His will be done!

RESOLUTION

We, the Lutheran Pastoral Conference of Greater Tacoma, together with the faculty of Pacific Lutheran College, herewith declare ourselves entirely in accord with the program for Pacific Lutheran College, Parkland, Wash., as outlined by President O. A. Tingelstad.

We assure the authorities concerned our whole-hearted support and wish them wisdom and grace in agreeing on a satisfactory solution of this vital problem.

—Adopted November 19, 1931.

IV.

WE NEED TO MEET THIS YEAR'S DEFICIT NOW

Three years ago the operating deficit was met by the Mid-West Campaign of the Development Association. Two years ago likewise. Last year the operating deficit was met by an emergency appropriation of \$16,901.58 by the church. This year's operating deficit will probably be less than last year's, but *it must be met as soon as possible*. It can be done easily, if each friend of the cause does his or her bit. Let us not fail! So much is at stake! Read these resolutions! Let us heed them!

RESOLUTION OF FINANCE COMMITTEE

WHEREAS the Norwegian Lutheran Church of America by formal action at its 1930 General Convention went on record in support of the work of Pacific Lutheran College through its Development Association, and

WHEREAS the continued operation and success of Pacific Lutheran College will be possible only through increased support;

BE IT RESOLVED that the Finance Committee of the Norwegian Lutheran Church of America urge all its congregations, Church societies, organizations, and individual members to co-operate actively in furtherance of the important work of Pacific Lutheran College, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Pacific Lutheran College be, and hereby is, authorized and advised to take immediate steps to extend activities of the Development Association through solicitation of necessary funds to avoid deficits and to meet other requirements of the College until the next Biennial Convention. This authorization shall be subject to the approval of the Board of Education.

Unanimously adopted by Finance Committee, Feb. 24, 1932.

Unanimously approved by Board of Education, Feb. 26, 1932.

RESOLUTION OF BOARD OF EDUCATION

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the maximum amount of funds raised by Pacific Lutheran College prior to the forthcoming Biennial Convention shall be limited to the amount required for payment of current bills and outstanding obligations, with the view to avoiding an operating deficit for the fiscal year, and to retiring present indebtedness; any further effort to be subject to the special approval and authorization of this Board or the General Convention of the Church.

Unanimously adopted as a separate resolution supplementing resolution of Finance Committee, by Board of Education, Feb. 26, 1932.